

Doosan Heavy Industries & Construction

An Improved Protection System for Zero SPV and CCF Elimination

Nam Chae Ho Gyeongju, Korea Dec 4, 2017



10th International Workshop on Application of FPGA in NPP,

hosted by **DOOSAN** Heavy Industries & Construction Co., Ltd. in cooperation with the IAEA and SunPort SA.

Table of Contents

I. Introduction

. Background focusing on CCF of Protection System

II. CCF measures for Protection System

- . CCF different person, organization & platform...
- . SPV redundancy & combination architecture

3. Validation methods using Code Simulator

. Code Simulator is good for validation of newly developed system



I. Introduction - Background - CCF

- CCF Requirements are continuously being increased to make sure safety & reliability of NPP
 - ✓ As the Regulatory body requires the Safety analysis of CCF under LBLOCA as well as MSLB in accordance with BTP(Branch Technical Position) 7-19 which is quoted from the SRM on SECY-93-087
 - ✓ DPS design change requested to add the other remain functions of PPS.
 - Original function of DPS
 - AFAS due to the SG Low Level
 - RX Trip when PZR or CNMT High
 Pressure
 - Required additional functions of DPS
 - SIAS due to the PZR Low Pressure
 - CIAS due to the PZR Low Pressure
 - RX Trip due to the Steam Line Break

PPS		DPS	
RX Trip	V	RX Trip	V (+SLB)
CIAS	V	CIAS	V
SIAS	V	SIAS	V
CSAS	V	CSAS	
MSIS	V	MSIS	
AFAS	V	AFAS	V
FHEVAS	V	FHEVAS	
CPIAS	V	CPIAS	V
CREVAS	V	CREVAS	V (TBD)



I. Introduction - Background - SPV

- SPVs are continuously being removed to enhance the reliability of NPP
 - SSPS have still more than 80 SPVs

For Example) Zero SPV CEDMCS

◆ After analyzing SPV of CEDMCS, finding 297 SPVs.

♦ Finally, CEDMCS renovated to '0' SPV Systems

- Step 1 : Identify Define the single point vulnerability
- Step 2 : Evaluate Scrutinize all items
- Step 3 : Design : Eliminate or mitigate SPVs.
- Step 4 : Test Verify & Validation of all items

Enhance the Maintain & Test Ability

• On-line replacement of PCM or Electronic Cards



Test by CRCS(3-Coil Type) & CEDMCS(4-Coil Type) MMI



Doosan Heavy Industries & Construction

SPV: Single Point Vulnerability

I. Introduction - Background - SPV

SSPS

Block Diagram

- SPVs are continuously being removed to enhance the reliability of NPP
 - SSPS have still more than 80 SPVs without Relays



5

I. Introduction

Measures

CCF

- Background – Differences of CCF and SPV

- Differences in design characteristics between CCF and SPV
- Design Conflicts between CCF Measures & SPV Elimination:

 Wanted Trip Actuation

- 'Or' Scheme
- 'NO' Contact
- De-energize to actuate

Unwanted Trip
 Protection

'And' Scheme

SP

Elimination

•'NC' Contact

 Energize to actuate



II. CCF measures for Protection System

- Countermeasure for CCF Issues
 - Different Platform of PPS will resolve the CCF Issues without DPS
 - ✓ As is Class 1E Protection System and Non-Class 1E DPS
 - ✓ To be Class 1E independent Protection System using different platform
 - ✓ Independent protection scheme designs using different controller platforms can mitigate ATWS by CCF





II. CCF measures for Protection System

- Countermeasure for CCF Issues

Different Platform of PPS will resolve the CCF Issues (Rx Power 100%)



II. CCF measures for Protection System

- Countermeasure for CCF & SPVs
 - Redundancy & Combination IC remove the SPV of DI&C
 - The mutually independent protection system design using different controller platforms and combination trip circuits can mitigate ATWS at the condition of controller's CCF.

Fail Mode	Operation Mode	Normal Operation (Operability)	Safety Function (Reliability)
CCF of Controller	Open Fail	0	Ο
	Close Fail	0	0
	Toggle Fail	Х	0
SPV	Trip Component	0	0
	Power Fail	0	0



III. Validation methods using Code Simulator - Code Simulator is good for validation of newly developed system





Design validation using code simulator with malfunction scenarios. Ex) Pressurize crack





III. Validation methods using Code Simulator

- Code Simulator is good for validation of newly developed system

New Design for mitigating CCF and eliminating SPV



III. Validation methods using Code Simulator - Code Simulator is good for validation of newly developed system

Case Study #1 SPV of FLC based Protection System

Normal Operation



Reject the Card





III. Validation methods using Code Simulator

- Code Simulator is good for validation of newly developed system

Case Study #1 SPV of FLC based Protection System



- Countermeasure for CCF Issues

Case Study #2 CCF of PLC based Protection System



- Countermeasure for CCF Issues

Case Study #3 Combined PLC CCF & FLC SPV at Protection System



- Countermeasure for CCF Issues

Mitigate ATWS under PLC CCF Condition



- Countermeasure for CCF Issues

Mitigate ATWS under PLC CCF Condition



hank you for listening ✓ After Coffee Break, Doosan will be demonstration.

Please joint the demonstration and enjoy it. ^ ^



